## Using Bayesian Networks To Infer Product Rankings From User Needs

UMAP 2010 Workshop on Intelligent Techniques for Web Personalisation and Recommender Systems

Sven Radde and Burkhard Freitag

Institute for Information Systems and Software Technology University of Passau, Germany

20-Jun-2010

**Overview** 



2 Domain (Meta-)Modeling





#### **Use Case**

- Conversational recommender system
  - Closely follow 'natural' recommendation practices
- Mobile communications domain
  - Industry partner
  - Reference implementation
    - Recommendations
    - Dialogue management
    - Product presentation / sales process
    - Knowledge maintenance



#### Challenge

Preferences about technical attributes...

- ...are necessary to produce recommendations
- ...cannot be elicited from customers

#### Example

Consider these technical properties:

- Bluetooth
- Wi-Fi
- UMTS (with/without HSDPA?)

Which of these are needed for mobile access to e-mail? And what else?

#### Solution

- Elicit "soft" preferences, i.e. ask questions about customer needs, expectations or desires
- Infer preferences about technical properties
  - Domain modelling to capture necessary knowledge
- Recommend items based on the inferred preferences



#### Solution

- Elicit "soft" preferences, i.e. ask questions about customer needs, expectations or desires
- Infer preferences about technical properties
  - Domain modelling to capture necessary knowledge
- 8 Recommend items based on the inferred preferences













### **Modeling Principles**

#### • Describe technical properties of the articles in the domain

- Define customer traits that are relevant for marketing
- Define causal influences between customer traits and technical properties



### **Modeling Principles**

- Describe technical properties of the articles in the domain
- Define customer traits that are relevant for marketing
- Define causal influences between customer traits and technical properties



### **Modeling Principles**

- Describe technical properties of the articles in the domain
- Define customer traits that are relevant for marketing
- Define causal influences between customer traits and technical properties



- Directed Acyclical Graph
  - Nodes: Random variables
  - Edges: Conditional (in)dependencies between variables



- Directed Acyclical Graph
  - Nodes: Random variables
  - Edges: Conditional (in)dependencies between variables



- < ∃ >

- Directed Acyclical Graph
  - Nodes: Random variables
  - Edges: Conditional (in)dependencies between variables



▶ < Ξ >

- Directed Acyclical Graph
  - Nodes: Random variables
  - Edges: Conditional (in)dependencies between variables



▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲

- Directed Acyclical Graph
  - Nodes: Random variables
  - Edges: Conditional (in)dependencies between variables



▶ ★ 문 ▶

- Directed Acyclical Graph
  - Nodes: Random variables
  - Edges: Conditional (in)dependencies between variables



- < ∃ >

- Directed Acyclical Graph
  - Nodes: Random variables
  - Edges: Conditional (in)dependencies between variables





 How do we use a Bayes net to capture the causal relationships in our domain?

▶ ★ 프 ▶ ★ 프



• Needs  $\Rightarrow$  random variables with "Likert" scale

- Flexible number of states possible
- Accommodate answer granularity
- Commonly five states

▶ < 글 > < 글 >



- Attribute values ⇒ boolean variables
  - Model likelihood that a value is useful for the customer
  - Likelihoods of values of the same attribute are independent

▶ < 글 > < 글 >



- Influences ⇒ probability tables
  - Generated in an offline step



- Insert answers as evidence for the needs
- Read the posteriori probability distributions from the attribute values

▶ ▲ 토 ▶ ▲ 토 ▶



#### No fixed ordering of questions

- Transparent belief revision
- Recommendations from the start



#### No fixed ordering of questions

- Transparent belief revision
- Recommendations from the start



- No fixed ordering of questions
- Transparent belief revision
- Recommendations from the start



- No fixed ordering of questions
- Transparent belief revision
- Recommendations from the start



- No fixed ordering of questions
- Transparent belief revision
- Recommendations from the start
  - More evidence/answers  $\hat{=}$  less uncertainty

< □ ▶ < 🗇

▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲

э



- No fixed ordering of questions
- Transparent belief revision
- Recommendations from the start
  - More evidence/answers = less uncertainty

< □ ▶ < 🗇

▶ < Ξ >

#### **Overview**



2 Domain (Meta-)Modeling





### Ranking by MAUT

**General idea:** Construct a multi-attribute utility-function based on the current dialogue state

- Determine utility value for each possible value of an attribute
- Determine relative importance/weight of attribute
- Calculate a product's overall utility by using a weighted sum
  - Common in multi-attribute utility theory
  - Implementation in SQL

### SQL Ranking Query

• Use a standard "ORDER BY" clause to implement the ordering

Compatible to all SQL databases

Example	
SELECT	*, (\$utilityfunction) as UTILITY
ORDER BY	UTILIY DESC;

The result set may be restricted:

- By a top-k operator (e.g., return the 10 most highly ranked products)
- By hard constraints (e.g., exclude certain product properties from the result set)

### **Utility Function**

#### Example

```
$utilityfunction =
   $utility(att_1)$ * weight(att_1) + ... +
   $utility(att_n)$ * weight(att_n)
```

Weighted sum: Sum up each value's utility, weighted by the attribute's importance

### **Utility Function**

#### Example

```
$utilityfunction =
  $utility(att_1)$ * weight(att_1) + ... +
  $utility(att_n)$ * weight(att_n)
```

#### Example

```
$utility(att_x) =
CASE WHEN att_x = val_x1 THEN u(val_x1) ELSE 0.0 END + ... +
CASE WHEN att_x = val_xn THEN u(val_xn) ELSE 0.0 END
```

- Realize \$utility as a large set of CASE-WHEN statements
- May be implemented as a stored procedure for increased efficiency
- Use database-specific optimizations (e.g., ENUM-datatypes)

### Utility of an Attribute Value

- Based on posteriori-probability in the Bayes net:
   u<sub>av</sub> := p(r<sub>av</sub> = true | ...)
- All utilities are 'independent'





#### Distinctiveness of an Attribute

- Clearer customer opinion  $\hat{=}$  more important for recommendations
- Clearer customer opinion  $\hat{=}$  more *distinctive* predictions





#### Distinctiveness of an Attribute

- Clearer customer opinion  $\hat{=}$  more important for recommendations
- Clearer customer opinion  $\hat{=}$  more *distinctive* predictions





### Further Components of the Weight of an Attribute

#### Situation factor

- Attributes with no connection to already-answered questions are ignored (i.e., are assigned a weight of 0.0)
- Makes recommendations more intuitive
- Static weight
  - Assigned by domain experts
  - Some attributes are inherently more important than others (e.g., digital camera resolution vs. ability to send EMS)



#### **Evaluation**

#### Expert evaluations

- System is in active use with our industry partner
  - $\Rightarrow$  Validity of the implemented business process
- Market study to analyze recommendations
  - ⇒ Recommendation quality

#### Public presentations

- University events
  - ⇒ Applicability to different domains
- Exhibition at Cebit fair 2010

### Summary & Outlook

- Approach to derive "hard" product rankings from "soft" customer preferences
  - Utility function for use with MAUT
  - Alternative: Pareto-optimality techniques (not shown today)

- In the (near) future:
  - Extend evaluations
  - Explanations
    - Recommendations
    - Dialogue behaviour
  - Sell it ;-)

# Thank you!

# Your questions and comments are welcome!

Bayes nets modeled with GeNIe (http://dsl.sis.pitt.edu/)

< 🗆 ト < 🗗





UMAP-ITWP'10